



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/631,067	08/01/2000	Hajime Kimura	SEL 201	6613

7590 05/17/2004

Cook Alex McFarron Manzo
Cummings & Mehler LTD
Suite 2850
200 West Adams Street
Chicago, IL 60606

EXAMINER

ZEADE, BERTRAND

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

2875

DATE MAILED: 05/17/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/631,067

Applicant(s)

KIMURA, HAJIME

Examiner

Bertrand Zeade

Art Unit

2875

pm

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 01 March 2004.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-27 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☒ Claim(s) 2,5-7,10-11,14-17,19-22 and 24-27 is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1,3,4,8,9,12,13 and 23 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on _____ is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.
- 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

- 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 050604.
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) _____
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other:

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed 03/01/2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
2. Applicants contend that Besson does not disclose or suggest the claimed limitation of "a plurality of prism-shaped lenses each being contact with a lower surface of the light guide plate.
3. In response to Applicant as shown in (fig. 12), light (4) is not next to the light guide plate (110), but the cooperation of the light with the light guide is not set forth. Therefore, any transparent plate which cooperates with the prism "90" can be considered a light guide plate.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

2. Claims 1,3-4,8-9,12-13 and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Beeson et al (U.S.5396350).

Beeson ('350) discloses a backlighting apparatus employing an array of micro prisms having:

Regarding claim 1, as shown in (figs. 7-12) a light source (4), light guide plate (110), and a plurality of prism-shaped lenses (90) each being contact with a lower

Art Unit: 2875

SAH 110
surface of the light guide ~~(6)~~, wherein a cross-section of each of the prism-shaped lenses (90), in a plane perpendicular to the side surfaces thereof, has a shape of equally-sided trapezoid, a plane defined by an upper base (92) of the equally-sided trapezoidal cross-section of each of the prism-shaped lenses (90) comes into contact with the lower surface of the light guide plate (6), and a obtuse angle or tilted angle (col. 17, lines 42-48) of the equally-sided trapezoidal cross-section and a critical angle for the total reflection of the prism-shaped lenses satisfy the relationship of $90 \text{ degrees} < 0 > 90 \text{ degrees} + 0$ (col. 8, lines 16-31).

Regarding claim 3, a refractive index of each of the prism-shaped lenses (80/28) is equal to that of the light guide plate (col. 5, lines 43-68).

Regarding claim 4, each of the prism-shaped lenses (90/28) is made of the same material as the light guide plate (col. 5, lines 43-68).

Regarding claim 8, as shown in (figs. 7-12) a light source (4), light guide plate (6/110), and a plurality of prism-shaped lenses (90) each being contact with a lower surface of the light guide (6), wherein a cross-section of each of the prism-shaped lenses (90), in a plane perpendicular to the side surfaces thereof, has a shape of equally-sided trapezoid, a plane defined by an upper base (92) of the equally-sided trapezoidal cross-section of each of the prism-shaped lenses (90) comes into contact with the lower surface of the light guide plate (6), and a obtuse angle or tilted angle (col. 17, lines 42-48) of the equally-sided trapezoidal cross-section and a critical angle for the total reflection of the prism-shaped lenses satisfy the relationship of $90 \text{ degrees} < 0 > 90 \text{ degrees} + 0$ (col. 8, lines 16-31).

Regarding claim 9, as shown in (figs. 7-12) a light source (4), light guide plate (6/82), and a plurality of prism-shaped lenses (90/28) each being contact with a lower surface of the light guide (6/82), wherein a cross-section of each of the prism-shaped lenses (90), in a plane perpendicular to the side surfaces thereof, has a shape of equally-sided trapezoid, a plane defined by an upper base (92) of the equally-sided trapezoidal cross-section of each of the prism-shaped lenses (90/28) comes into contact with the lower surface of the light guide plate (6/28); and a obtuse angle or tilted angle (col. 17, lines 42-48) of the equally-sided trapezoidal cross-section and a critical angle for the total reflection of the prism-shaped lenses satisfy the relationship of $90 \text{ degrees} < \theta < 90 \text{ degrees} + 0$ (col. 8, lines 16-31).

Regarding claim 12, a refractive index of each of the prism-shaped lenses (80/28) is equal to that of the light guide plate (col. 5, lines 43-68).

Regarding claim 13, each of the prism-shaped lenses (80/28) is made of the same material as the light guide plate (col. 5, lines 43-68).

Regarding claim 23, the prism-shaped lenses (90) is made of the same material as the light guide plate (6).

Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claims 2,5,6-7,10-11,14-17,19-20-22,24-27 are allowed.
4. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: The prior art of record neither teaches nor suggest that in the axially-symmetric figure, an angle defined between a normal at a certain point on one of the opposing curved lines and a straight line connecting a crossing point between the opposing curved line and the shorter one in the pair of opposing parallel straight lines to the certain point, is in the range of +3 degrees from a critical angle for the total reflection of each of the prism-shape lenses.

Conclusion


Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bertrand Zeade whose telephone number is 571-272-2387. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:30 AM-5:00PM.

Art Unit: 2875

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sandra O'Shea can be reached on 571-272-2378. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Bertrand Zeade
Examiner
Art Unit 2875


Stephen Husar
Primary Examiner